## Award

NASD Regulation, Inc.

In the Matter of the Arbitration Between

#### Names of Claimants

Charles W. Dunn

Charles W. Dunn Revocable Trust

Charles Dunn & Associates

Case No. 98-04494

# Names of Respondents

LNA Capital Corp.
Barington Capital Group, L.P.
John Leonard Donahue
James Anthony Mitarotonda
Marc Seth Cooper
John Michael Rizzo
Edwin Kantor
Jerome Snyder

REPRESENTATION OF PARTIES

For Charles W. Dum ("Dunn"), Charles W. Dunn Revocable Trust ("Dum Revocable Trust"), and Charles Dunn & Associates ("Dunn & Associates"), hereinafter collectively referred to as "Claimants": Nicholas D. Thomas, Esq. of the Law Office of Nicholas D. Thomas, Raleigh, North Carolina.

For Respondents LNA Capital Corp. ("LNA"), Barington Capital Group, L.P. ("Barington"), James Anthony Mitarotonda ("Mitarotonda"), Marc Seth Cooper ("Cooper"), John Michael Rizzo ("Rizzo"), Edwin Kantor ("Kantor"), and Jerome Snyder ("Snyder"): Stuart A. Jackson, Esq. of the law firm of Re, Parser & Partners, New York, New York and Andrew L. Weinberg, Esq. of the law firm of Squadron, Ellenoff, Plesent & Sheinfeld LLP. New York, New York.

For Respondent John **Leonard** Donahue ("Donahue"): Robert L. Herskovits, Esq. of the Law Offices **of** Michael F. Bachner, New York, New York.

## **CASE INFORMATION**

Statement of Claim filed by Claimants on or about: November **30**, 1998. Claimant Dunn signed the Uniform Submission Agreement: November **17**, 1998 and March 19, 1999.

Claimant Dunn, as Trustee for the Dunn Revocable Trust, signed the Uniform Submission Agreement: December 21, 1998 and March 19, 1999.

Claimant **Dunn** & Associates did not file an executed Uniform Submission Agreement.

Amended Statement of Claim filed by Claimants on or about: March 15, 1999.

Respondent Cooper signed the Uniform Submission Agreement: May 11, 1999.

Respondent Kantor signed the Uniform Submission Agreement: May 12, 1999.

Respondent Snyder signed the Uniform Submission Agreement: May 12, 1999.

Respondent LNA signed the Uniform Submission Agreement: May 13, 1999.

Respondent Barington signed the Uniform Submission Agreement: May 13, 1999.

Respondent Mitarotonda signed the Uniform Submission Agreement: May 13, 1999.

Respondent Rizzo signed the Uniform Submission Agreement: May 13, 1999.

Statement of Answer filed by Respondents LNA, Barington, Mitarotonda, Cooper, Rizzo, Kantor and Snyder on or about: May 14, 1999.

Statement of Answer filed by Respondent Donahue on or about: June 2, 1999.

Respondent Donahue did not file an executed Uniform Submission Agreement.

Claimants asserted the following causes of action: Violation of North Carolina General Statute 78A-8; violation of Florida Statute Section 517.301; common law fraud; breach of fiduciary duty; negligence; gross negligence; and violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule lob-5 promulgated thereunder. The causes of action relate to damages arising from Respondent Donahue's solicitation to sell stocks and Claimants purchase of stocks in which Respondent Barington acted as underwriter and/or market maker. Claimants allege that Respondents LNA, Mitarotonda, Cooper, Rizzo, Kantor and Snyder are control persons of Respondent Barington.

Unless specifically admitted in its Answer, Respondents LNA, Barington, Mitarotonda, Cooper, **Rizzo**, Kantor and Snyder denied the allegations made in the Statement of Claim and Amended Statement of Claim and asserted the following defenses: Claimants failed to state claims upon which relief may be granted; Claimants were fully advised of and understood the high risk nature of the investments; Claimants knowingly assumed and accepted the risks in making their investments; Claimants' loss, if any, was caused in whole or in part by conditions and events outside the control of Respondents LNA, Barington, Mitarotonda, Cooper, Rizzo, Kantor and Snyder; Claimants failed to act promptly to mitigate damages; Claimants are estopped from recovery under the doctrines of waiver, estoppel and ratification; Respondents LNA, Barington, Mitarotonda, Cooper, **Rizzo**, Kantor and Snyder acted in good faith and maintained a reasonable system of supervision and control; and Respondents LNA, Barington, Mitarotonda, Cooper, **Rizzo**, Kantor and Snyder did not owe a fiduciary duty to Claimants.

Unless specifically admitted in its Answer, Respondent Donahue denied the allegations made in the Statement of Claim and Amended Statement of Claim and asserted the following defenses: Claimants own negligence, fault or lack of diligence caused the damages that Claimants complain of; Claimants acted in reckless disregard of facts which they knew or should have been aware and failed to exercise due care and diligence; Claimants knew or should have known all material facts concerning their investments and are thereby estopped

from recovery; Claimants authorized and directed the execution of all transactions in their securities accounts; Claimants authorized and ratified all transactions in their securities accounts; Claimants failed to act promptly to mitigate damages; Claimants are barred by the statute of limitations; and Respondent Donahue acted in good faith and exercised reasonable care in connection with Claimants' investments.

## **RELIEF REQUESTED**

Claimants requested compensatory damages in excess of \$500,000.00 and **less** than \$5,000,000.00, interest, attorney's fees, costs, punitive damages and all other relief deemed appropriate by the Panel.

Respondents LNA, Barington, Mitarotonda, Cooper, Rizzo, Kantor and Snyder requested dismissal of the claims, an award of all costs and attorney's fees incurred in defending the claims and such other relief as the Panel deemed just and proper.

Respondent Donahue requested dismissal of the claims and an assessment of all costs against the Claimants.

## OTHER ISSUES CONSIDERED AND DECIDED

Respondent Donahue did not file with the NASD Regulation, Inc. Office of Dispute Resolution a properly executed submission to arbitration but is required to submit to arbitration pursuant to the Code. Respondent Donahue, having answered the claim and having appeared and testified at the hearing, is bound by the determination of the Panel on all issues submitted.

The parties have agreed that the Award in this matter may be executed in counterpart copies or that a handwritten, signed Award may be entered.

#### **AWARD**

After considering the pleadings, the testimony and evidence presented at the hearing, and the post-hearing submissions (if any), the Panel has decided in full and final resolution of the issues submitted for determination as follows:

- 1. Claimants' claims are denied in their entirety.
- 2. Claimants' request for punitive damages is denied.
- 3. In the event there is a notation on the NASD CRD with respect to Respondent Donahue, the Panel recommends the expungement of all references to the above captioned arbitration from Respondent Donahue's registration records maintained by the NASD CRD, with the understanding that pursuant to NASD Notice to Members 99-09, Respondent Donahue must obtain confirmation from a court of competent jurisdiction before the CRD will execute the

expungement directive.

**4.** All other requests for relief not specifically addressed herein are denied.

# **FEES**

Pursuant to the Code, the following fees are assessed:

## Filing Fees

**NASD** Regulation, Inc. will retain **or** collect the non-refundable filing fees for each claim: initial claim filing fee = \$ 250.00

#### **Member Fees**

Member fees are assessed to each member firm that is a party in these proceedings or to the member firm(s) that employed the associated person(s) at the time of the event(s) giving rise to the dispute. In this matter, the member from, Respondent Barington, is a party.

Member surcharge = \$2,500.00 Pre-hearing process fee = \$600.00 Hearing process fee = \$4,500.00

# Forum Fees and Assessments

The Panel assesses forum fees for each hearing session conducted. A hearing session is any meeting between the parties and the arbitrator(s), including a pre-hearing conference with the arbitrator(s), that lasts four (4) hours or less. Fees associated with these proceedings are:

One (1) Pre-hearing session Pre-hearing conference:	_		= \$ 300.00
One (1) Pre-hearing session Re-hearing conference:	•		= \$1,000.00
Four <b>(4)</b> Hearing sessions x	• /	1 Session	= \$4,000.00
Hearing Dates:	March 28, 2000	2 sessions	
•	March <b>29.</b> 2000	2 sessions	
Total Forum <b>Fees</b>			= \$5,300.00

The Panel has assessed the total forum fees of \$5,300.00 jointly and severally to the Claimants.

# Fee Summary

Claimants be and hereby **are** jointly and severally liable for:

Initial Filing Fee= \$ 250.00Forum Fees= \$5,300.00Total Fees= \$5,550.00Less uavments= \$1.250.00

Balance Due NASD Regulation, Inc. = \$4,300.00

Respondent Barington be and hereby is solely liable for:

Member Fees= \$7,600.00Total Fees= \$7,600.00Less uavments= \$7,600.00Balance Due NASD Regulation, Inc.= \$0.00

All balances are due and payable to NASD Regulation, Inc.

# **Concurring Arbitrators' Signatures**

	Signature Date
Zeb E. Barnhardt, Jr., Esq. Public Arbitrator	Signature Date
James W. A. Black Industry Arbitrator	Signature Date
May 9,2000 Date of Service (For NASD office use only)	

# **Fee Summary**

Claimants be and hereby are jointly and severally liable for:

 Initial Filing Fee
 = \$ 250.00

 Forum Fees
 = \$5,300.00

 Total Fees
 = \$5,550.00

 Less payments
 = \$1,250.00

Balance Due NASD Regulation, Inc. = \$4,300.00

Respondent Barington be and hereby is solely liable for:

Member Fees= \$7,600.00Total Fees= \$7,600.00Less uavments= \$7,600.00Balance Due NASD Regulation, Inc.= \$0.00

All balances are due and payable to NASD Regulation, Inc.

<b>Concurring A</b> 1	rbitrators'	Sign	<u>atures</u>
, /`			_

Leonard Landsman Signature Date

Public Arbitrator, Presiding Chair

Zeb E. Barnhardt, Jr., Esq. Signature Date

Public Arbitrator

James W. A. Black Signature Date

**Industry Arbitrator** 

# Fee Summery

Claimants be and hereby are jointly and severally liable for:

Initial Piling Fee = \$250.00Forum Fees **= \$5,300.00** Total Fees **= \$5,550.00** Less payments = \$1.250.00

Balance Due NASD Regulation, Inc. **= \$4**,300.00

Respondent Barington be and hereby is solely liable for:

**= \$7,600.00** Member Fees Total Fees **- \$7,600.00 = \$7.600.00** Less payments **- \$0.00** Balance Due NASD Regulation, Inc.

All balances are due and payable to NASD Regulation, Inc.

## Concurring Arbitrators' Signatures

Signature Date Leonard Landsman

Public Arbitrator, Presiding Chair

Public Arbitrator

Signature Date James W. A. Black **Industry Arbitrator** 

# Fee Summary

Claimants be and hereby are jointly and severally liable for:

Initial Filing Fee = \$ 250.00

Forum Fees = \$5,300.00

Total Fees = \$5,550.00

Less payments = \$1.250.00

Balance Due NASD Regulation, Inc. = \$4,300.00

Respondent Barington be and hereby is solely liable for:

 Member Fees
 = \$7,600.00

 Total Fees
 = \$7,600.00

 Less payments
 = \$7,600.00

 Balance Due NASD Regulation, Inc.
 = \$0,00

All balances are due and payable to NASD Regulation, Inc.

# Concurring Arbitrators' Signatures

Leonard Landsman Signature Dare

· Public Arbitrator, Presiding Chair

Zeb E. Barnhardt, Jr., Esq. Signature Date

Public Arbitrator

James W. A. Black

industry Arbitrator